

ALICE IN THE CROSSCURRENTS

2024
UPDATE

AN UPDATE ON FINANCIAL HARDSHIP IN SOUTH CAROLINA


In 2022, financial hardship in South Carolina continued to be shaped by the conflicting economic forces of the pandemic, and remained substantially undercounted by official measures.

These powerful crosscurrents — COVID-19, inflation, wage growth, and the expansion and expiration of [pandemic public assistance](#) — impacted how many South Carolina households were below the [ALICE Threshold of Financial Survival](#).

Between 2021 and 2022, the number of ALICE households in South Carolina increased by 61,195 (to 30% of all households), continuing a more than decade-long trend in the growth of this population. The number of households in poverty also increased, though much less substantially, by 705, (to 14% of all households). **By 2022, of the 2,122,865 households in South Carolina, 939,833 — 44% — were below the ALICE Threshold.**

With the latest data from the [American Community Survey](#) (2022), the [U.S. Census Bureau's Household Pulse Survey](#) (2023), and the [Federal Reserve Board's Survey of Household Economics and Decisionmaking](#) (SHED) (2022), this Update highlights the conflicting forces that continue to present opportunities for, and barriers to, financial stability in South Carolina.

Total Households in South Carolina = 2.1 Million



KEY TERMS

- **ALICE:** Asset Limited, Income Constrained, Employed — households that earn above the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) but cannot afford the basic cost of living in their county. Despite struggling to make ends meet, ALICE households often do not qualify for public assistance.
- **ALICE Household Survival Budget:** Reflects the minimum costs of household necessities in South Carolina (housing, child care, food, transportation, health care, and technology) plus taxes, adjusted for different counties and household types
- **ALICE Threshold of Financial Survival:** Derived from the Household Survival Budget, the minimum average income that a household needs to afford basic costs, calculated for all U.S. counties
- **Below ALICE Threshold:** Includes households in poverty and ALICE households combined
- **ALICE Essentials Index:** A measure of the average change over time in the costs of essential goods and services



United Ways
of South Carolina




Trident United Way


HOUSEHOLD COSTS, PUBLIC ASSISTANCE, AND WAGES

Financial hardship among South Carolina households shifted from 2021 to 2022 based primarily on three factors:

- **Costs:** From 2021 to 2022, the ALICE Household Survival Budget for a single adult in South Carolina increased from \$25,644 to \$27,780, well above the FPL of \$13,590; and for a family of four with an infant and a preschooler, the budget (including tax credits) increased from \$58,104 to \$73,632, well above the FPL of \$27,750. Excluding tax credits, costs for a family of four totaled \$79,740 in 2022, up from \$72,732 in 2021. (More data on inflation is available in the [ALICE Essentials Index](#) June 2024 Update.)
- **Public assistance:** Pandemic assistance had the most pronounced effects on families with children. The Economic Impact Payments and the expansions of the Child Tax Credit (CTC) and the Child and Dependent Care Tax Credit (CDCTC) helped many ALICE families through 2021. But this assistance was substantially reduced when the [2021 American Rescue Plan](#) expired, stimulus payments ended, and tax credits reverted to 2020 levels. In 2022, a family of four with an infant and a preschooler in South Carolina was eligible for approximately \$15,000 less in maximum federal tax credits and stimulus payments than in 2021.
- **Wages:** As pandemic assistance wound down, wages increased for most low-wage jobs. For example, median retail sales wages in South Carolina increased from \$11.38 per hour in 2021 to \$13.27 per hour in 2022.



Comparison of Costs, Wages, and Public Assistance, Family of Four, South Carolina, 2021 and 2022



Note: CTC = Child Tax Credit, CDCTC = Child and Dependent Care Tax Credit. Full-time income is calculated based on 40 hours per week.

Sources: ALICE Threshold, 2021 and 2022; Bureau of Labor Statistics—Occupational Employment Statistics, 2021 and 2022; Internal Revenue Service, tax credits—CTC, CDCTC, EITC, 2021 and 2022; U.S. Department of the Treasury, 2022 and 2023.

See page 13 for Household Survival Budget sources and visit UnitedForALICE.org/Household-Budgets/South-Carolina to see the Household Survival Budget for all counties and for any household composition.

Across the country, between 2019 and 2022, [wages for the lowest-paid jobs increased](#) at a faster rate than at any point since 1979. This was in part due to a [tighter labor market](#) in which workers reevaluated their employment situation in the wake of the pandemic and inflation, and employers had to offer more competitive wages to attract and retain them. In some states, minimum wage increases also contributed to this effect. Yet South Carolina does not have a state minimum wage, and therefore the federal minimum wage (fixed at \$7.25 per hour since 2009) applies.

While wage increases helped fill the gap when pandemic assistance ended, they were not enough to make up for years of falling behind. In 2022, of the 20 most common occupations in South Carolina as reported by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), 75% still paid less than \$20 per hour. And of the workers in these 20 most common occupations, 35% were below the ALICE Threshold in 2022. Occupations with the largest share of ALICE workers included personal care aides, cashiers, cooks, fast food/counter workers, and construction laborers.

Labor Characteristics of Most Common Occupations, South Carolina, 2019–2022

Most Common Occupations	Total Employment, 2022 (BLS)	Percent of Workers Below ALICE Threshold, 2022 (ACS PUMS)	Median Hourly Wage, 2022 (BLS)	Percent Change in Wage, 2019–2022 (BLS)
Retail Salespersons	63,890	31%	\$13.27	17%
Laborers and Freight, Stock, and Material Movers, Hand	63,570	40%	\$16.42	22%
Cashiers	56,100	57%	\$11.23	14%
Customer Service Representatives	55,720	39%	\$16.76	10%
Fast Food and Counter Workers	54,140	53%	\$10.89	21%
Driver/Sales Workers and Truck Drivers	51,150	29%	\$16.66	-5%
Cooks	48,280	55%	\$13.02	24%
General and Operations Managers	46,680	15%	\$40.37	2%
Registered Nurses	44,030	10%	\$36.35	19%
Waiters and Waitresses	41,840	48%	\$9.16	2%
Office Clerks, General	40,470	18%	\$15.23	15%
Stockers and Order Fillers	37,630	39%	\$14.35	21%
Secretaries and Administrative Assistants, Except Legal, Medical, and Executive	33,950	30%	\$18.07	4%
Elementary and Middle School Teachers	32,010	16%	\$26.90	7%
Personal Care Aides	29,210	58%	\$12.03	14%
Maintenance and Repair Workers, General	27,180	22%	\$18.97	12%
Administrative Support Supervisors	26,240	23%	\$27.38	16%
Sales Representatives, Wholesale and Manufacturing	26,120	8%	\$29.95	8%
Retail Sales Supervisors	19,940	31%	\$19.32	9%
Construction Laborers	18,260	49%	\$17.50	17%

Note: BLS = Bureau of Labor Statistics; ACS PUMS = American Community Survey Public Use Microdata Sample. Occupation titles and percent of workers below the ALICE Threshold come from ACS PUMS. ALICE Threshold status is determined by comparing workers' household income to the Household Survival Budget for their household composition and location. Employment and wage numbers are from BLS and are matched to the closest PUMS occupation title (which are generally broader than those in BLS).

Sources: ALICE Threshold, 2022; Bureau of Labor Statistics—Occupational Employment Statistics, 2022; U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, PUMS, 2019 and 2022

To see the most common occupations for workers below the ALICE Threshold in your community, visit UnitedForALICE.org/ALICE-EVD. For more data on jobs by hourly wages and full-time, part-time, and hourly work schedules, visit UnitedForALICE.org/Labor-Force/South-Carolina.

Financial Hardship Over Time


Despite some ups and downs in rates of financial hardship since the end of the Great Recession (2010–2022), the trend is clear: **The number of ALICE households in South Carolina has continued to grow.** During this period, the total number of households in the state increased by 21%, the number of ALICE households increased by 44%, and households in poverty remained relatively flat (up 1%). By 2022, 14% (297,348) of all households were below the FPL, and 30% (642,485) of all households were ALICE — a combined 44% (939,833) of households struggling to make ends meet.

Narrowing in on the period around the COVID-19 pandemic, the rate of financial hardship in South Carolina dipped

slightly, from 45% of households below the ALICE Threshold in 2019 to 44% in 2022. Yet by number, total households below the Threshold continued to rise from 879,927 in 2019 to 939,833 in 2022.

This consistent trend — a growing number of households that are struggling financially, often ineligible for public assistance, and undercounted by official measures — represents a major vulnerability in our economic system. It also suggests that overall social and economic policies are falling short in addressing the root causes of financial instability.

Households by Income, South Carolina, 2010–2022



Note: The gray dashed trend lines in this figure highlight the general direction of the point-in-time data for the years shown. These lines indicate whether the numbers of ALICE and Poverty-Level households have been generally increasing, decreasing, or remaining flat. The ALICE trend line is statistically significant at $p=0.0002$; however, the Poverty trend line is not statistically significant, and caution should be used when making predictions.

Sources: ALICE Threshold, 2010–2022; U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2010–2022

To see additional data on financial hardship over time in South Carolina, visit UnitedForALICE.org/South-Carolina.

SPOTLIGHT ON ALICE DEMOGRAPHICS

Families With Children

While recent attention has focused on the rising [Supplemental Poverty Rate](#) for children following the expansion and reversal of Child Tax Credits during and after the pandemic, longer-term trends tell a more nuanced story.

In contrast to the national decline in the number of households with children (down 3% from 2010 to 2022), the total number of households with children in South Carolina has increased (up 3%). The growth in the number of families with children in South Carolina has been driven by married-parent households, which increased from 313,506 households in 2010 to 336,774 in 2022 (up 7%), and single-male-headed households, which increased from 33,595 in 2010 to 37,892 in 2022 (up 13%). At the same time,

the number of single-female-headed households fell from 149,292 in 2010 to 136,572 in 2022 (down 9%).

While overall the number of households with children in poverty in South Carolina has been declining over the past decade, the number of ALICE households with children has been increasing. By 2022, 38% of families with children in South Carolina were below the ALICE Threshold. And longstanding disparities in financial hardship by household type remained: 78% of single-female-headed families and 64% of single-male-headed families were below the ALICE Threshold in 2022, compared to 19% of married-parent families.

Households With Children, South Carolina

	Married-Parent	Single-Female-Headed	Single-Male-Headed
Percent Change 2010 to 2022			
Total Households	▲ Increased 7%	▼ Decreased 9%	▲ Increased 13%
Households in Poverty	▼ Decreased 27%	▼ Decreased 26%	▲ Increased 4%
ALICE Households	▲ Increased 55%	▲ Increased 45%	▲ Increased 48%
Percent Below ALICE Threshold, 2022	19%	78%	64%

Note: Poverty rates for families with children differ from rates for individual children, in part due to different surveys and in part because there are often multiple children in a single household, which can accentuate swings.

Sources: ALICE Threshold, 2010–2022; U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2010–2022

THE COST OF CHILD CARE

Child care remains one of the highest Survival Budget costs for households with children, and the [child care system](#) is still feeling the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. Provider shortages and lack of affordable care present fewer options for parents. According to the October 2023 Household Pulse Survey, when families in [South Atlantic Census Region](#) (which includes South Carolina) were asked what they did when child care was closed, unavailable, or unaffordable, the most common responses for respondents below the ALICE Threshold were to cut work hours (33%), to take unpaid leave (32%), or to supervise one or more children while working (26%).

Households Headed by People Age 65 and Over


With the [aging of the Baby Boomer generation](#), households headed by people age 65 and over are the fastest-growing age group in South Carolina (up 55% between 2010 and 2022). They are also the age group with the most substantial increase in the number of households below the ALICE Threshold (up 62% during the same period).

In 2022, 52% of South Carolina’s 65+ households were below the ALICE Threshold (326,533). While Social Security helps [reduce the poverty rate for households headed by older adults](#) (13% in South Carolina in 2022), benefits have not been enough to help bring older adults to financial stability.

As a result, for more than a decade, a substantial number of these households have been ALICE (40% in 2022). In 2022, monthly costs for the ALICE 65+ Survival Budget for one adult in South Carolina were \$998 more than the [average Social Security payment](#) of \$1,657.

With increasing costs and insufficient retirement savings, many older adults have needed to continue working. In 2022, nearly 308,875 people age 65 and over living below the ALICE Threshold in South Carolina did not have retirement savings beyond Social Security, and nearly 38,105 were working.

Monthly ALICE 65+ Survival Budget Total, Average Monthly Social Security Payments, and the Federal Poverty Level, South Carolina, 2022



Note: See page 13 for a breakdown of monthly ALICE 65+ Survival Budget costs.

Sources: ALICE 65+ Survival Budget, 2022 (see the ALICE [Methodology](#) for details); Social Security Administration, 2022

Black, Hispanic, and Indigenous Households

Rates of financial hardship differ substantially by race/ethnicity in South Carolina due to [persistent systemic racism](#), [discrimination](#), and [geographic barriers](#) that limit many families' access to resources and opportunities for financial stability. In 2022, 62% of Black, 53% of Hispanic, and 48% of households headed by someone of Two or More Races were below the ALICE Threshold in South Carolina, compared to 38% of White and 34% of Asian households. Rates were also disproportionately higher among smaller Indigenous populations in the state: 60% of American Indian/Alaska Native households and 45% of Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander households were below the Threshold in 2022. These disparities were also mirrored in the workforce and in access to health care.

- **Employment:** Black and Hispanic workers were more likely than White workers to experience [disruptions in employment](#) during the pandemic. And gaps in employment persisted, especially for Black South Carolinians: 13% of Black adults (age 16+) below the ALICE Threshold in South Carolina were more likely to not be working, but looking for work in 2022 – higher than the rate for all workers below the Threshold (9%) and much higher than for all workers above the Threshold (2%).
- **Health:** According to the Federal Reserve SHED (October 2022), in the [South Atlantic Census Region](#), 37% of Black respondents and 37% of Hispanic respondents reported that during the previous year they went without health care (prescription medicine, seeing a doctor, mental health care, or dental care) because they couldn't afford it, compared to 27% of White respondents. Rates were even higher for respondents below the ALICE Threshold, with 48% of Hispanic, 44% of Black, and 42% of White respondents going without care.



Household Financial Status and Key Demographics, South Carolina, 2022

	Total	Below ALICE Threshold	Poverty ALICE Above ALICE Threshold
ALL HOUSEHOLDS	2,122,865	939,833	14% 30% 56%
AGE			
Under 25 Years	86,255	58,282	34% 34% 32%
25 to 44 Years	657,441	263,453	14% 26% 60%
45 to 64 Years	756,524	291,565	13% 25% 61%
65 Years and Over	622,645	326,533	13% 40% 48%
RACE/ETHNICITY			
American Indian/ Alaska Native	5,602	3,370	18% 42% 40%
Asian	28,273	9,731	7% 27% 66%
Black	514,317	317,044	17% 44% 38%
Hispanic	88,284	46,541	9% 44% 47%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	743	336	17% 28% 55%
Two or More Races	63,344	30,148	10% 38% 52%
White	1,404,690	530,834	8% 30% 62%
HOUSEHOLD TYPE			
Married With Children	336,774	65,061	6% 13% 81%
Single-Female- Headed With Children	136,572	107,068	45% 34% 22%
Single-Male-Headed With Children	37,892	24,125	29% 35% 36%
Single or Cohabiting, Under 65, no Children	988,982	417,046	13% 29% 58%
RURAL/URBAN			
Rural	323,717	165,945	20% 31% 49%
Urban	1,799,148	773,888	13% 30% 57%

Note: The groups shown in this figure are based on head of household and overlap across categories. Within the race/ethnicity category, all racial categories except Two or More Races are for one race alone. Race and ethnicity are overlapping categories; in this Update, the American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian, Black, Native Hawaiian (includes other Pacific Islanders), and Two or More Races groups may include Hispanic households. The White group includes only White, non-Hispanic households. The Hispanic group may include households of any race. Because household poverty data is not available for the American Community Survey's race/ethnicity categories, annual income below \$15,000 is used as a proxy. Counties are defined as rural or urban based on the USDA's designation of metropolitan or non-metropolitan at the census tract level. Counties with 50% or more of the population in metropolitan tracts are designated as urban; those with 50% or more of the population in non-metropolitan tracts are designated as rural.

Sources: ALICE Threshold, 2022; American Community Survey, 2022

ALICE REMAINS IN THE CROSSCURRENTS

Many ALICE households face [ongoing distress](#) because they have not recovered from the Great Recession, debt accumulation, a job loss, or other major challenges. Many are working hard and still struggling to find safe housing, quality child care, nutritious food, accessible health care, and reliable transportation that they can afford. Rising wages and pandemic assistance mitigated some of the financial impact of business disruptions, a health crisis, and rising inflation that characterized the past few years. Yet 44% of households in South Carolina were still struggling in 2022 — [ranking](#) South Carolina 38th among all states and the District of Columbia in financial hardship (with 1st representing the lowest rate of hardship). Insights from the Federal Reserve SHED and the Household Pulse Survey help explain why:



Inflation is Hitting ALICE Harder

- **The cost of basics** is increasing faster than the overall rate of inflation, as reported by the [ALICE Essentials Index](#). And it has gotten harder for ALICE to keep up with bills than at the height of the pandemic. According to the Household Pulse Survey, 52% of households below the ALICE Threshold in South Carolina reported that it was somewhat or very difficult to pay for usual items such as food, rent or mortgage, car payments, and medical expenses in October 2023, up from 44% in August 2020.
- **Housing costs** are on the rise across the state, and the impact is greater for those who were already struggling financially. According to the SHED, in 2022, one-third of households below the ALICE Threshold in South Carolina reported that their rent or mortgage had increased in the prior 12 months.

Changes in Public Assistance Impact ALICE

- **Food assistance:** Increased need for food assistance was a hallmark of the pandemic. Food pantries experienced a substantial increase in [demand for services](#), and Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) [eligibility criteria broadened and monthly payments increased](#) (through February 2023). In 2022, the need remained high, with one in six Americans (49 million) [receiving private charitable food assistance](#) — down from the height of the pandemic, but still up markedly from 40 million in 2019. In part due to the SNAP income eligibility level in South Carolina (130% of the FPL), public food assistance was not accessible to all households that were struggling financially: Only 36% of all South Carolina households in poverty and 14% of all ALICE households participated in SNAP in 2022. Among all eligible people, estimated [SNAP participation rates were higher](#).
- **Rent:** With rising costs, the expiration of [pandemic rental assistance](#), and the end of both [state](#) and [federal eviction bans](#), many South Carolinians continued to struggle to pay their rent. In South Carolina in 2022, 64% of households below the ALICE Threshold were rent burdened (paying more than 30% of their income on rent) and 39% were severely rent burdened (paying more than 50% of their income on rent). According to the Household Pulse Survey, 17% of renter households below the ALICE Threshold in South Carolina were behind on rent payments in October 2023, up from 9% in August 2020.

ALICE is Less Prepared for Crises and Retirement

- **ALICE struggles to save:** According to the SHED, only 37% of households below the ALICE Threshold in South Carolina had emergency savings (or rainy day funds) that would cover their expenses for three months in the event of sickness, job loss, economic downturn, or another emergency in 2022, compared to 67% of households above the Threshold.
- **ALICE faces unexpected medical expenses:** According to the SHED, 29% of respondents below the ALICE Threshold in South Carolina incurred an unexpected major medical expense that they had to pay for out of pocket because it was not completely paid for by insurance in 2022. Medical debt generally reflects [poorer health](#) and lower rates of health care coverage, and can lead to [lower credit scores](#) and [additional financial hardship](#). Additionally, the [consequences of medical debt](#) are not experienced equally; those with lower incomes and people of color are more likely than their counterparts to be contacted by collection agencies and denied future care.
- **Financial hardship impedes retirement savings:** According to the SHED, in 2022, while 15% of all non-retired adults and 25% of non-retired adults above the ALICE Threshold in South Carolina reported that their

retirement savings plan was currently on track, only 7% of those below the Threshold reported the same.

- **Financial hardship takes a toll on mental health:** The negative impact of financial stress on mental health has been [well established](#). According to the Household Pulse Survey, 19% of respondents below the ALICE Threshold in South Carolina reported feeling nervous, anxious, or on edge nearly every day over the prior two weeks in October 2023 – similar to August 2020 (18%), and higher than for those above the Threshold (9% in 2023).

This research shows a clear trend in our communities and our economy: Financial hardship is widespread, and it is not going away. The current system is not working for ALICE. The narrative in this Update helps make the case for innovative, cross-sector change in South Carolina and across the U.S. The data, tools, and resources on the [United For ALICE](#) website can equip business, government, education, and nonprofit leaders to make data-informed decisions that address the root causes of financial hardship. Collaborative effort at all levels — local, state, and federal — will be needed to change the trajectory for ALICE households.



ALICE ONLINE

Visit UnitedForALICE.org to explore interactive data and resources. Click the icons below to get started.

 Interactive Maps Data at the state, county, municipal, and ZIP-code levels	 ALICE Demographics Information about ALICE households by age, race/ethnicity, household type, and location	 County Reports An in-depth look at ALICE data, county by county
 Data Sheet Spreadsheet of ALICE data over time and by location	 ALICE Household Budgets ALICE Household Survival and Stability Budgets for the state and one or more counties	 ALICE Essentials Index Key data on the increase in the cost of household basics over time
 Legislative District Tool ALICE data by legislative district, including state upper and lower chambers and congressional districts	 National Overview National ALICE data and a comparison of financial hardship across U.S. states	 Economic Viability Dashboard Key data on the local economic conditions that matter most to ALICE households: Work, Housing, and Community Resources
 Research Advisory Committees Information about the members and role of these critical groups	 ALICE Methodology Overview of the sources and calculations used in the ALICE research	 Equity for ALICE Creating equity for ALICE by illustrating how structural racism and systemic barriers limit life outcomes, and working to remove those barriers so that all people can participate fully in all aspects of our social and economic systems
 ALICE Voices Are you ALICE? Use this tool to share your story	 ALICE in Action Programs, practices, and policy changes implemented by partners across the United For ALICE network	 ALICE Videos Videos that highlight the ALICE research and partner network

ALICE RESEARCH & METHODOLOGY

The ALICE Household Survival Budget calculates the cost of household essentials for each county in South Carolina and relies on a wide range of public data sources, listed below. For household income, the ALICE measures rely on the U.S. Census Bureau’s American Community Survey (ACS) — both household tabulated data and individual data from the Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS) records. Household costs are compared to household income to determine if households are below the ALICE Threshold. The latest [ALICE Methodology](#) review was completed in the summer of 2023. Methodology enhancements include:

- **Health care costs:** A “poor health multiplier” is used to capture the additional costs lower-income households incur for being in poor or fair health. Based on the latest research, out-of-pocket costs in the health care line item are increased by 19% (a more conservative estimate than the 30% used in prior years).
- **Broadband added:** To reflect the finding that the majority of Americans now [have home broadband](#), basic broadband internet has been added to technology costs. The smartphone plan has been updated to include an unlimited (albeit less expensive than the previous 10GB version) smartphone plan for each adult in the household.
- **Determining ALICE status:** For 2021 data and years prior, the ALICE Threshold was rounded to the nearest ACS income bracket (e.g., Threshold of \$32,500 corresponded to bracket \$30,000–\$34,999; **all** households in that bracket were below the ALICE Threshold). Starting with (2022 data), the Threshold is calculated in proportion to where it falls within the bracket (e.g., if Threshold is \$32,500, **half** of households in the bracket are below the Threshold).

ALICE Household Survival Budget, South Carolina, 2022			
	Single Adult (Age 18–64)	Single Adult (Age 65+)	2 Adults, 1 Infant, 1 Preschooler
Monthly Costs			
Housing – Rent	\$558	\$558	\$588
Housing – Utilities	\$163	\$163	\$310
Child Care	-	-	\$1,086
Food	\$439	\$405	\$1,197
Transportation	\$412	\$348	\$1,063
Health Care	\$185	\$530	\$749
Technology	\$86	\$86	\$116
Miscellaneous	\$184	\$209	\$511
Tax Before Credits	\$288	\$356	\$1,025
Monthly Total	\$2,315	\$2,655	\$6,645
ANNUAL TOTAL Before Tax Credits	\$27,780	\$31,860	\$79,740
Tax Credits (CTC and CDCTC)	\$0	\$0	(\$6,108)
ANNUAL TOTAL With Tax Credits	\$27,780	\$31,860	\$73,632
Full-Time Hourly Wage	\$13.89	\$15.93	\$36.82

Note: CTC = Child Tax Credit, CDCTC = Child and Dependent Care Tax Credit. Full-time hourly wage represents the wage needed at 40 hours per week to support the annual total, with credits. For the family of four, this represents the combined wage needed for two workers. Many households incur higher costs, especially for housing, as units may not be available at Fair Market Rent.

Sources: AAA, 2022; Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2022; American Community Survey, 2022; Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2022—Consumer Expenditure Surveys; Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2022—Occupational Employment Statistics; Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, 2023—Medicare - Chronic Conditions; Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, 2020—Medicare Current Beneficiary Survey; Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, 2023; Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta—Policy Rules Database; Federal Highway Administration, 2017; Feeding America, 2023; Frank, 2022; Internal Revenue Service, 2022; Medicare.gov; South Carolina Department of Social Services, 2021; The Zebra, 2022; U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2022—Official USDA Food Plans; U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 2022—Fair Market Rents; USTelecom, 2022.

To view ALICE Household Survival Budgets for all counties and for any household composition, visit UnitedForALICE.org/Household-Budgets/South-Carolina.

Data Notes: The income data used in this Update rely on ACS estimates. The ACS is based on a representative sample, rather than all housing units and people; therefore, these estimates have a [degree of uncertainty](#). Some data points are geographic averages, others are one- or five-year averages depending on population size (see the [Data Sheet](#) for details). Percentages are rounded to whole numbers, sometimes resulting in percentages totaling 99% or 101%. ALICE analysis includes households regardless of work status, as employment is fluid and most households have members who are working, have worked, are out on disability, or are looking for work. ALICE analysis does not include people who are unhoused or living in group quarters.

ABOUT UNITED FOR ALICE AND OUR PARTNERS

ALICE in the Crosscurrents: An Update on Financial Hardship in South Carolina is brought to you by [Trident United Way](#) and the [United Ways in South Carolina](#) in partnership with [United For ALICE](#), a driver of innovative research and action around financial hardship for ALICE households. With a commitment to [racial and economic justice](#), United For ALICE and United Ways across South Carolina share this work with foundations, government, corporations, and other nonprofits to inform policy and promote positive change for ALICE households. The grassroots ALICE movement, developed by United Way of Northern New Jersey, has spread to 31 states and the District of Columbia. Learn more about the ALICE movement [here](#).

To create the ALICE Reports, our [team of researchers](#) works with [Research Advisory Committees](#) composed of experts from our partner states. This work is guided by our rigorous [methodology](#), which is updated biennially with experts from across our Research Advisory Committees.

United For ALICE partners with [Trident United Way](#) and the [United Ways in South Carolina](#) to bring this research to South Carolina and this work is sponsored by the Carolinas Credit Union Foundation.



United Ways
of South Carolina



Trident United Way



Director and Lead Researcher: Stephanie Hoopes, Ph.D.

ALICE Research Team: Andrew Abrahamson; Ashley Anglin, Ph.D.; Catherine Connelly, D.M.H., M.A.; Max Holdsworth, M.A.; Dana Isaac

South Carolina Research Advisory Committee: Erika Bell, Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond; E. Ann Gowdy, Ph.D., LISW-CP, M.S.W., University of South Carolina, College of Social Work; Bryan P. Grady, Ph.D., South Carolina Department of Employment and Workforce; Rebecca Gunnlaugsson, Ph.D., South Carolina Department of Education; Midas Hampton, M.P.A., Strategic Spartanburg; Eric Jenkins, SRP Federal Credit Union; Tricia Richardson, SC Thrive; Craig Stine, Public Education Partners; Ryan Wilcox, Berkeley-Charleston-Dorchester Council of Governments

To learn more about how you can get involved in advocating and creating change for ALICE in South Carolina, contact: **Katie Reams**, at kreams@tuw.org

To access interactive ALICE data and resources for South Carolina, go to UnitedForALICE.org/South-Carolina.

© Copyright 2009–2024 United Way of Northern New Jersey. All rights reserved.